DEC $140 B$
Representation Learning Lecture $12 \mid$ Part 1
Interpreting PCA

## Three Interpretations

- What is PCA doing?
- Three interpretations:

1. Mazimizing variance
2. Finding the best reconstruction
3. Decorrelation

## Recall: Matrix Formulation

- Given data matrix $X$.
- Compute new data matrix $Z=X U$.
- PCA: choose $U$ to be matrix of eigenvectors of $C$.
- For now: suppose $U$ can be anything - but columns should be orthonormal
- Orthonormal = "not redundant"


## View \#1: Maximizing Variance

- This was the view we used to derive PCA
- Define the total variance to be the sum of the variances of each column of $Z$.
- Claim: Choosing $U$ to be top eigenvectors of $C$ maximizes the total variance among all choices of orthonormal $U$.


## Main Idea

PCA maximizes the total variance of the new data. l.e., chooses the most "interesting" new features which are not redundant.

## View \#2: Minimizing Reconstruction Error

- Recall: total reconstruction error

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\vec{X}^{(i)}-U \vec{z}^{(i)}\right\|^{2}
$$

- Goal: minimize total reconstruction error.
- Claim: Choosing $U$ to be top eigenvectors of $C$ minimizes reconstruction error among all choices of orthonormal $U$


## Main Idea

PCA minimizes the reconstruction error. It is the "best" projection of points onto a linear subspace of dimensionality $k$. When $k=d$, the reconstruction error is zero.

## View \#3: Decorrelation

- PCA has the effect of "decorrelating" the features.




## Main Idea

PCA learns a new representation by rotating the data into a basis where the features are uncorrelated (not redundant). That is: the natural basis vectors are the principal directions (eigenvectors of the covariance matrix). PCA changes the basis to this natural basis.
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## PCA in Practice

- PCA is often used in preprocessing before classifier is trained, etc.
- Must choose number of dimensions, $k$.
- One way: cross-validation.
- Another way: the elbow method.


## Total Variance

- The total variance is the sum of the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix.
- Or, alternatively, sum of variances in each orthogonal basis direction.



## Caution

- PCA's assumption: variance is interesting
- PCA is totally unsupervised
- The direction most meaningful for classification may not have large variance!
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Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction

## Scenario

- You want to train a classifier on this data.
- It would be easier if we could "unroll" the spiral.
- Data seems to be one-dimensional, even though in two dimensions.
- Dimensionality reduction?



## PCA?

Does PCA work here?

- Try projecting onto one principal component.


No

## PCA?

- PCA simply "rotates" the data.
- No amount of rotation will "unroll" the spiral.
- We need a fundamentally different approach that works for non-linear patterns.


## Today

Non-linear dimensionality reduction via spectral embeddings.

## Rethinking Dimensionality

$\Rightarrow$ Each point is an $(x, y)$ coordinate in two dimensional space

- But the structure is one-dimensional
- Could (roughly) locate point using one number: distance from end.



## Rethinking Dimensionality



## Rethinking Dimensionality



## Rethinking Dimensionality

- Informally: data expressed with d dimensions, but its really confined to $k$-dimensional region
- This region is called a manifold
- $d$ is the ambient dimension
- $k$ is the intrinsic dimension


## Example

Ambient dimension: 2

- Intrinsic dimension: 1



## Example

Ambient dimension: 3

- Intrinsic dimension: 2



## Example

## Ambient dimension:

- Intrinsic dimension:



## Manifold Learning

Given: data in high dimensions

- Recover: the low-dimensional manifold


## Types of Manifolds

- Manifolds can be linear
- E.g., linear subpaces - hyperplanes
- Learned by PCA
- Can also be non-linear (locally linear)
- Example: the spiral data
- Learned by Laplacian eigenmaps, among others


## Euclidean vs. Geodesic Distances

- Euclidean distance: the "straight-line" distance
- Geodesic distance: the distance along the manifold



## Euclidean vs. Geodesic Distances

- Euclidean distance: the "straight-line" distance
- Geodesic distance: the distance along the manifold


## Euclidean vs. Geodesic Distances

- If data is close to a linear manifold, geodesic $\approx$ Euclidean
- Otherwise, can be very different


## Non-Linear Dimensionality Reduction

- Goal: Map points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{k}$
- Such that: if $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{y}$ are close in geodesic distance in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, they are close in Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$


## Embeddings
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Embedding Similarities

## Similar Netflix Users

- Suppose you are a data scientist at Netflix
- You're given an $n \times n$ similarity matrix $W$ of users
$>$ entry $(i, j)$ tells you how similar user $i$ and user $j$ are
> 1 means "very similar", 0 means "not at all"
- Goal: visualize to find patterns


## Idea

- We like scatter plots. Can we make one?
- Users are not vectors / points!
- They are nodes in a similarity graph

Similarity Graphs

Similarity matrices can be thought of as weighted graphs, and vice versa.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \\
B \\
C
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A & B & C \\
1 & 0.1 & 0.2 \\
0.1 & 1 & 0.7 \\
0.2 & 0.7 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$



## Goal

- Embed nodes of a similarity graph as points.
- Similar nodes should map to nearby points.




## Today

- We will design a graph embedding approach:
- Spectral embeddings via Laplacian eigenmaps


## More Formally

- Given:
- A similarity graph with $n$ nodes
- a number of dimensions, $k$
- Compute: an embedding of the $n$ points into $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ so that similar objects are placed nearby


## To Start

- Given:
- A similarity graph with $n$ nodes

Compute: an embedding of the $n$ points into $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ so that similar objects are placed nearby

## Vectors as Embeddings into $\mathbb{R}^{1}$

- Suppose we have $n$ nodes (objects) to embed
$\Rightarrow$ Assume they are numbered $1,2, \ldots, n$
$\Rightarrow$ Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ be the embeddings
We can pack them all into a vector: $\vec{f}$.
$>$ Goal: find a good set of embeddings, $\vec{f}$.


## Example

$$
\vec{f}=(1,3,2,-4)^{\top}
$$

## An Optimization Problem

- We'll turn it into an optimization problem:
- Step 1: Design a cost function quantifying how good a particular embedding $\vec{f}$ is
- Step 2: Minimize the cost

Example

Which is the best embedding?


## Cost Function for Embeddings

- Idea: cost is low if similar points are close
- Here is one approach:

$$
\operatorname{Cost}(\vec{f})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i j}\left(f_{i}-f_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

> where $w_{i j}$ is the weight between $i$ and $j$.

## Interpreting the Cost

$$
\operatorname{Cost}(\vec{f})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i j}\left(f_{i}-f_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

- If $w_{i j} \approx 0$, that pair can be placed very far apart without increasing cost
$\Rightarrow$ If $w_{i j} \approx 1$, the pair should be placed close together in order to have small cost.


## Exercise

Do you see a problem with the cost function?

$$
\operatorname{Cost}(\vec{f})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i j}\left(f_{i}-f_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

Hint: what embedding $\vec{f}$ minimizes it?

## Problem

- The cost is always minimized by taking $\vec{f}=0$.
- This is a "trivial" solution. Not useful.
- Fix: require $\|\vec{f}\|=1$
- Really, any number would work. 1 is convenient.


## Exercise

Do you see another problem with the cost function, even if we require $\vec{f}$ to be a unit vector?

$$
\operatorname{Cost}(\vec{f})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i j}\left(f_{i}-f_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

Hint: what other choice of $\vec{f}$ will always make this zero?

## Problem

- The cost is always minimized by taking $\vec{f}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{\top}$.
- This is a "trivial" solution. Again, not useful.
- Fix: require $\vec{f}$ to be orthogonal to $(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{\top}$.
- Written: $\vec{f} \perp(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{\top}$
- Ensures that solution is not close to trivial solution
- Might seem strange, but it will work!


## The New Optimization Problem

- Given: an $n \times n$ similarity matrix $W$
- Compute: embedding vector $\vec{f}$ minimizing

$$
\operatorname{Cost}(\vec{f})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i j}\left(f_{i}-f_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

subject to $\|\vec{f}\|=1$ and $\vec{f} \perp(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$

## How?

- This looks difficult.
- Let's write it in matrix form.
- We'll see that it is actually (hopefully) familiar.

