

Lecture 17 – More Naive Bayes



DSC 40A, Fall 2021 @ UC San Diego
Suraj Rampure, with help from **many others**

Announcements

- ▶ Homework 8 is due **Friday 12/3 at 11:59pm.**
- ▶ No groupwork assignment, but we've posted a "Probability Review" worksheet on the course website that we'll take up in discussion section in-person on Wednesday.
 - ▶ Consists of past exam problems.
- ▶ Lecture on Thursday will be a high-level summary + combinatorics review problems.
- ▶ Fill out CAPEs + the End-of-Quarter survey. If 90% of the class does both, everyone gets 0.5% extra credit added to their final course grade.

deadline: Monday 8am
- ▶ The Final Exam is on **Wednesday 12/8 from 11:30AM-2:30PM.**
 - ▶ You'll take the exam remotely by downloading a PDF from Gradescope and submitting your answers as a PDF by the deadline.
 - ▶ More logistical details to come.

Agenda

- ▶ Revisit Naive Bayes with a new application – text classification.
- ▶ Practical demo.

Recap: Naive Bayes classifier

- ▶ We want to predict a class, given certain features.

e.g. ripe, not ripe

- ▶ Using Bayes' theorem, we write

$$P(\text{class}|\text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{class}) \cdot P(\text{features}|\text{class})}{P(\text{features})}$$

- ▶ For each class, we compute the numerator using the **naive assumption of conditional independence of features given the class**.
- ▶ We estimate each term in the numerator based on the training data.
- ▶ We predict the class with the largest numerator.
 - ▶ Works if we have multiple classes, too!

e.g. color, species, firmness

Text classification

Text classification

- ▶ Text classification problems include:
 - ▶ Sentiment analysis (e.g. positive and negative customer reviews).
 - ▶ Determining genre (news articles, blog posts, etc.).
 - ▶ **Spam filtering.**
- ▶ **Our goal:** given the body of an email, determine whether it's **spam** or **ham** (not spam).

Shutterfly

11/3/21

Thank us later—snag an EXTRA 20% OFF your holiday card an...

Plus, claim your 4 freebies (today only)! > | View web version 
Order cards and gifts now to avoid delays UP TO 50% OFF...

Alumni Alliances

11/2/21

Univ. of Cal. Berkeley Alumni Club Invites Suraj from Halıcıoğlu...

Have you claimed your members-only access? Hi Suraj, You're
Invited to Join Alumni Alliances, an invitation-only alumni club....

IRS.gov

11/1/21

Re: You are Eligible For a Tax Return on Nov 1, 06:01:52 pm 

Third Round of Economic Impact Payments Status Available.

Question: How do we come up with features?

Features

Idea:

- ▶ Choose a **dictionary** of d words, e.g. “prince”, “money”, “free”...
- ▶ Represent each email with a **feature vector** \vec{x} :

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x^{(1)} \\ x^{(2)} \\ \dots \\ x^{(d)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

- ▶ $x^{(i)}$ = 1 if word i is present in the email, and
- ▶ $x^{(i)}$ = 0 otherwise.

This is called the **bag-of-words** model.

Concrete example

- ▶ Dictionary: “prince”, “money”, “free”, and “xxx”.
- ▶ Dataset of 5 emails (red are spam, green are ham):
 - ▶ **I am the prince of UCSD and I demand money.**
 - ▶ **Tapioca Express: redeem your free Thai Iced Tea!**
 - ▶ **DSC 40A: free points if you fill out CAPEs!**
 - ▶ **Click here to make a tax-free donation to the IRS.**
 - ▶ **Free COVID-19 tests at Prince Center.**

| | prince | money | free | xxx | class |
|---|--------|-------|------|-----|-------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | spam |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | spam |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |

Naive Bayes for spam classification

$$P(\text{class} \mid \text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{class}) \cdot P(\text{features} \mid \text{class})}{P(\text{features})}$$

- ▶ To classify an email, we'll use Bayes' theorem to calculate the probability of it belonging to each class:
 - ▶ $P(\text{spam} \mid \text{features})$.
 - ▶ $P(\text{ham} \mid \text{features})$.
- ▶ We'll predict the class with a larger probability.

Naive Bayes for spam classification

$$P(\text{class} \mid \text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{class}) \cdot P(\text{features} \mid \text{class})}{P(\text{features})}$$

ignore

- ▶ Note that the formulas for $P(\text{spam} \mid \text{features})$ and $P(\text{ham} \mid \text{features})$ have the same denominator, $P(\text{features})$.
- ▶ Thus, we can find the larger probability just by comparing numerators:
 - ▶ $P(\text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{features} \mid \text{spam})$.
 - ▶ $P(\text{ham}) \cdot P(\text{features} \mid \text{ham})$.

Naive Bayes for spam classification

Discussion Question

We need to determine four quantities:

1. $P(\text{features} \mid \text{spam})$.
2. $P(\text{features} \mid \text{ham})$.
3. $P(\text{spam})$.
4. $P(\text{ham})$.

$$P(\text{spam} \mid \text{features}) + P(\text{ham} \mid \text{features}) = 1$$

Which of these probabilities should add to 1?

- A) 1, 2
- B) 3, 4
- C) Both A and B
- D) Neither A nor B

To answer, go to menti.com and enter 7053 7461.

Estimating probabilities with training data

- ▶ To estimate $P(\text{spam})$, we compute

$$P(\text{spam}) \approx \frac{\# \text{ spam emails in training set}}{\# \text{ emails in training set}}$$

- ▶ To estimate $P(\text{ham})$, we compute

$$P(\text{ham}) \approx \frac{\# \text{ ham emails in training set}}{\# \text{ emails in training set}}$$

- ▶ What about $P(\text{features} \mid \text{spam})$ and $P(\text{features} \mid \text{ham})$?

Assumption of conditional independence

- Note that $P(\text{features} \mid \text{spam})$ looks like

$$P(\text{no word 1, yes word 2, ..., no word } d \mid \text{spam})$$

- Recall: the key assumption that the Naive Bayes classifier makes is that **the features are conditionally independent given the class.**
- This means we can estimate $P(\text{features} \mid \text{spam})$ as

"and"

$$\begin{aligned} & P(x^{(1)} = 0, x^{(2)} = 1, \dots, x^{(d)} = 0 \mid \text{spam}) \\ &= P(x^{(1)} = 0 \mid \text{spam}) \cdot P(x^{(2)} = 1 \mid \text{spam}) \cdot \dots \cdot P(x^{(d)} = 0 \mid \text{spam}) \\ &= p(\text{no word 1} \mid \text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{yes word 2} \mid \text{spam}) \cdot \dots \end{aligned}$$

Concrete example

- ▶ Dictionary: “prince”, “money”, “free”, and “xxx”.
- ▶ Dataset of 5 emails (red are spam, green are ham):
 - ▶ **“I am the prince of UCSD and I demand money.”**
 - ▶ **“Tapioca Express: redeem your free Thai Iced Tea!”**
 - ▶ **“DSC 40A: free points if you fill out CAPEs!”**
 - ▶ **“Click here to make a tax-free donation to the IRS.”**
 - ▶ **“Free COVID-19 tests at Prince Center.”**

Concrete example

- New email to classify: "Download a free copy of the Prince of Persia."

| | prince | money | free | xxx | class |
|---|--------|-------|------|-----|-------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | spam |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | spam |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{spam} \mid \text{features}) &\propto P(\text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{yes} \mid \text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{no money} \mid \text{spam}) \\ &\quad \cdot P(\text{free} \mid \text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{no xxx} \mid \text{spam}) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\cancel{\frac{2}{2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{20} \end{aligned}$$

| | prince | money | free | xxx | class |
|---|--------|-------|------|-----|-------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | spam |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | spam |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |

$$P(\text{ham} \mid \text{features}) \propto P(\text{ham}) \cdot P(\text{yes} \mid \text{ham}) \cdot P(\text{no money} \mid \text{ham}) \\ \cdot P(\text{yes free} \mid \text{ham}) \cdot P(\text{no xxx} \mid \text{ham})$$

$$= \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \cdot \left(\cancel{\frac{3}{3}}\right) \cdot \left(\cancel{\frac{3}{3}}\right) \cdot \left(\cancel{\frac{3}{3}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{5}$$

$$\text{num for spam} = \frac{1}{20}$$

$$\text{num for ham} = \boxed{\frac{1}{5}}$$

∴ predict ham

Uh oh...

- ▶ What happens if we try to classify the email “xxx what's your price, prince”?

| | prince | money | free | xxx | class |
|---|--------|-------|------|-----|-------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | spam |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | spam |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |

$$P(\text{spam} \mid \text{features}) \propto P(\text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{yes} \mid \text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{no money} \mid \text{spam}) \\ \cdot P(\text{no free} \mid \text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{xxx} \mid \text{spam})$$

$$\frac{0}{2} = 0$$

Smoothing

- ▶ Without smoothing:

$$P(x^{(i)} = 1 \mid \text{spam}) \approx \frac{\text{\# spam containing word } i}{\text{\# spam containing word } i + \text{\# spam not containing word } i}$$

"yes prime"

- ▶ With smoothing:

$$P(x^{(i)} = 1 \mid \text{spam}) \approx \frac{(\text{\# spam containing word } i) + 1}{(\text{\# spam containing word } i) + 1 + (\text{\# spam not containing word } i) + 1}$$

- ▶ When smoothing, we add 1 to the count of every group whenever we're estimating a conditional probability.
 - ▶ **Don't** smooth the estimates of unconditional probabilities (e.g. $P(\text{spam})$).

Concrete example with smoothing

- What happens if we try to classify the email "xxx what's your price, prince"?

| | prince | money | free | xxx | class |
|---|--------|-------|------|-----|-------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | spam |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | spam |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ham |

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{spam} \mid \text{features}) &\propto P(\text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{yes}_{\text{prince}} \mid \text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{no}_{\text{money}} \mid \text{spam}) \\ &\quad \cdot P(\text{no}_{\text{free}} \mid \text{spam}) \cdot P(\text{yes}_{\text{xxx}} \mid \text{spam}) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1+1}{1+1+1+1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1+1}{1+1+1+1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1+1}{1+1+1+1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{0+1}{0+1+2+1}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) \left(\frac{2}{4}\right) \left(\frac{2}{4}\right) \left(\frac{2}{4}\right) \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \boxed{\frac{1}{80}} \end{aligned}$$

→ do the same thing for
 $P(\text{ham} | \text{features})$

→ predict class with higher prob.

Practical demo

Follow along with the demo by clicking the **code** link on the course website next to Lecture 17.

Summary

Summary, next time

- ▶ The Naive Bayes classifier can be used for text classification, using the bag-of-words model.
- ▶ **Next time:** brief high-level summary of the course + combinatorics practice problems.