## Lecture 12 - Multiple Linear Regression and Feature Engineering
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## Agenda

wed OH 5-GPM

- Recap of Lecture 11.
- Using multiple features.

$$
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\text { SDSC } 2 n d \\
\text { floor }
\end{array}
$$

- Practical demo.
- Interpreting weights.

Recap of Lecture 11

## Regression and linear algebra

- Last time, we used linear algebra to fit a prediction rule of the form

$$
H(x)=w_{0}+w_{1} x
$$

- To do so, we first defined a design matrix $X$, parameter unseen vector $\vec{w}$, and observation vector $\vec{y}$ as follows:
$\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & x_{1}^{\prime} \\ 1 & x_{2}^{\prime}\end{array}\right] \quad X=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & x_{1} \\ 1 & x_{2} \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ 1 & x_{n}\end{array}\right], \quad \vec{w}=\left[\begin{array}{l}w_{0} \\ w_{1}\end{array}\right], \quad \vec{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ \ldots \\ y_{n}\end{array}\right]$
$\uparrow>$ We also re-wrote our prediction rule as a matrix-vector multiplication, defining the hypothesis vector $\vec{h}$ as

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x
\end{array}\right] \quad \vec{h}=x \vec{w} \quad \text { prediction on } \begin{aligned}
& \text { dutaset }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Minimizing mean squared error

- With our new linear algebra formulation of regression, our mean squared error now looks like:

$$
R_{s q}(\vec{w})=\frac{1}{n}\|\vec{y}-X \vec{w}\|^{2}
$$

- To find $\vec{w}^{*}$, the optimal parameter vector, we took the gradient of $R_{\text {sq }}(\vec{w})$ with respect to $\vec{w}$, set it equal to 0 , and solved.
- The result is the normal equations:


$$
\underset{A}{X^{\top} X} \vec{w}^{*}=X^{X^{\top} \vec{y}} \underset{b}{\vec{b}}
$$

- When $X^{\top} X$ is invertible, an equivalent form is

$$
\vec{w}^{*}=\left(X^{\top} X\right)^{-1} X^{\top} \vec{y}
$$

- This gives the same $w_{0}^{*}$ and $w_{1}^{*}$ as our formulas from Lecture 9.

Using multiple features

## Using multiple features

- How do we predict salary given multiple features?
- We believe salary is a function of experience and GPA.
- In other words, we believe there is a function $H$ so that:

$$
\text { salary } \approx H \text { (years of experience, GPA) }
$$

- Recall: H is a prediction rule.
- Our goal: find a good prediction rule, $H$.


## Example prediction rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}(\text { experience, GPA })=\$ 2,000 \times(\text { experience })+\$ 40,000 \times \frac{G P A}{4.0} \\
& H_{2}(\text { experience, GPA })=\$ 60,000 \times 1.05^{(\text {experience }+G P A)} \\
& H_{3}(\text { experience, GPA })=\cos (\text { experience })+\sin (G P A)
\end{aligned}
$$

Linear prediction rules

$$
w_{0}+w_{1} x_{1}^{(1)}+w_{2} x_{2}^{(2)}+\cdots
$$

We'll restrict ourselves to linear prediction rules: $W_{0}+W_{1} D$

$$
f(x)=x+2^{H(\epsilon}
$$

$$
H(\text { experience, GPA })=w_{0}+w_{1}(\text { experience })+w_{2}(G P A)
$$

This is called multiple linear regression.

$$
x=1: 3
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1} \\
& f\left(x_{2}\right)=y_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$x=2: 4>$ Note that $H$ linear in the parameters $w_{0}, w_{1}, w_{2}$.
$f(1+2)=\quad H$ is a linear combination of features ( 1 , experience, $3+2=5 \neq 7 \mathrm{GPA}$ ) with $w s$ as the coefficients ( $w_{0}, w_{1}$, and $w_{2}$ ).

As a result, we can solve the normal equations to find $w_{0}^{*}$, $w_{1}^{*}$, and $w_{2}^{*}!$

Linear regression with multiple features is called multiple linear regression.

$$
\forall \alpha, \beta=f\left(\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}\right)=\alpha y_{1}+\beta y_{2}
$$

## Geometric interpretation

Question: The prediction rule

$$
H(\text { experience })=w_{0}+w_{1} \text { (experience) }
$$

looks like a line in 2 D .

> Solar, rience)


1. How many dimensions do we need to graph

$$
H(\text { experience, GPA })=w_{0}+w_{1}(\text { experience })+w_{2}(G P A)
$$

2. What is the shape of the prediction rule?


## Example dataset

- For each of $n$ people, collect each feature, plus salary:

| Person \# | Experience | GPA | Salary |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 3 | 3.7 | 85,000 |
| 2 | 6 | 3.3 | 95,000 |
| 3 | 10 | 3.1 | 105,000 |

- We represent each person with a feature vector:

$$
\vec{x}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
3 \\
3.7
\end{array}\right], \quad \vec{x}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
6 \\
3.3
\end{array}\right], \quad \vec{x}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
10 \\
3.1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The hypothesis vector
When our prediction rule is

$$
H(\text { experience, GPA })=w_{0}+w_{1}(\text { experience })+w_{2}(G P A),
$$

the hypothesis vector $\vec{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{h})=\left[\begin{array}{c}
H\left(\text { experience }_{1}, G P A_{1}\right) \\
H\left(\text { experience }_{2}, G P A_{2}\right) \\
\ldots\left(\text { experience }_{n}, G P A_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \text { experience }_{1} & \mathrm{GPA}_{1} \\
1 & \text { experience }_{2} & \mathrm{GPA}_{2} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
1 & \text { experience }_{n} & \mathrm{GPA}_{n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{0} \\
w_{1} \\
w_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x_{1} & G_{1} \\
\vdots & x_{2} & G_{2} \\
1 & \vdots & \text { Design matrix }
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

## How do we find $\vec{w}^{*}$ ?

- To find the best parameter vector, $\vec{w}^{*}$, we can use the design matrix and observation vector

$$
X=\left[\right], \vec{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\ldots \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and solve the normal equations

$$
X^{\top} X \vec{w}^{*}=X^{\top} \vec{y}
$$

- Notice that the rows of the design matrix are the (transposed) feature vectors, with an additional 1 in front.


## Notation for multiple linear regression

$\Rightarrow$ We will need to keep track of multiple ${ }^{1}$ features for every individual in our data set.

- As before, subscripts distinguish between individuals in our data set. We have $n$ individuals (or training examples).
$\Rightarrow$ Superscripts distinguish between features. ${ }^{2}$ We have $d$ features.
$\Rightarrow$ experience $=x^{(1)}$
- GPA $=x^{(2)}$
$x_{1}^{(1)} x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{2}$

[^0]
## Augmented feature vectors

- The augmented feature vector $\operatorname{Aug}(\vec{x})$ is the vector obtained by adding a 1 to the front of feature vector $\vec{x}$ :


$$
\Leftrightarrow d-\operatorname{dim} \longrightarrow d_{+1}-\operatorname{dim}
$$

- Then, our prediction rule is

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\vec{x}) & =w_{0}+w_{1} x^{(1)}+w_{2} x^{(2)}+\ldots+w_{d} x^{(d)}=w_{0}+\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x} \\
& =\vec{w} \cdot \operatorname{Aug}(\vec{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

## The general problem

- We have $n$ data points (or training examples): $\left(\vec{x}_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\vec{x}_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ where each $\vec{x}_{i}$ is a feature vector of $d$ features:

$$
\vec{x}_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{i}^{(1)} \\
x_{i}^{(2)} \\
\ldots \\
x_{i}^{(d)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- We want to find a good linear prediction rule:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\vec{x}) & =w_{0}+w_{1} x^{(1)}+w_{2} x^{(2)}+\ldots+w_{d} x^{(d)} \\
& =\vec{w} \cdot \operatorname{Aug}(\vec{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

## The general solution

- Use design matrix
and observation vector to solve the normal equations

$$
X^{\top} X \vec{w}^{\star}=X^{\top} \vec{y}
$$

to find the optimal parameter vector.

## Interpreting the parameters

- With $d$ features, $\vec{w}$ has $d+1$ entries.
$w_{0}$ is the bias, also known as the intercept.
${ }^{>} w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d}$ each give the weight, i.e. coefficient, of a feature.

$$
H(\vec{x})=w_{0}+w_{1} x^{(1)}+\ldots+w_{d} x^{(d)}
$$

- The sign of $w_{i}$ tells us about the relationship between ith feature and the output of our prediction rule.


## Practical demo

## Example: predicting sales

- For each of 26 stores, we have:
- net sales,
- square feet,
- inventory,
- advertising expenditure,
- district size, and
- number of competing stores.
- Goal: predict net sales given square footage, inventory, etc.
- To begin:
$H$ (square feet, competitors) $=w_{0}+w_{1}$ (square feet) $+w_{2}$ (competitors)


## Example: predicting sales

$H$ (square feet, competitors) $=w_{0}+w_{1}$ (square feet) $+w_{2}$ (competitors)

## Discussion Question

What will be the sign of $w_{1}^{*}$ and $w_{2}^{*}$ ?
A) $w_{1}^{*}=+, \quad w_{2}^{*}=-$
B) $w_{1}^{*}=+, \quad w_{2}^{*}=+$
C) $w_{1}^{*}=-, \quad w_{2}^{*}=-$
D) $w_{1}^{*}=-, \quad w_{2}^{*}=+$

To answer, go to menti . com and enter 84825148.

Follow along with the demo by clicking the code link on the course website next to Lecture 12.

Interpreting weights

## Discussion Question

Which feature has the greatest effect on the outcome?
A) square feet: $\quad w_{1}^{*}=16.202$
B) competing stores: $\quad w_{2}^{*}=-5.311$
C) inventory:
$w_{3}^{*}=0.175$
D) advertising:
$w_{4}^{*}=11.526$
E) district size:
$w_{5}^{*}=13.580$
To answer, go to menti . com and enter 84825148.

## Which features are most "important"?

- The most important feature is not necessarily the feature with largest weight.

$$
H(x)=w_{0}+w_{1} x+w_{2} z_{y}
$$

- Features are measured in different units, scales.
- Suppose I fit one prediction rule, $H_{1}$, with sales in dollars, and another prediction rule, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, with sales in thousands of dollars.
- Sales is just as important in both prediction rules.
- But the weight of sales in $H_{1}$ will be 1000 times smaller than the weight of sales in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. $\mathrm{K} \$$
- Intuitive explanation: $5 \times 45000=(5 \times 1000) \times 45$.
- Solution: we should standardize each feature, i.e. convert each feature to standard units.


## Summary

## Summary

- The normal equations can be used to solve the multiple linear regression problem, where we use multiple features to predict an outcome.
- We can interpret the parameters as weights. The signs of weights give meaningful information, but we can only compare weights if our features are standardized.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In practice, we might use hundreds or even thousands of features.
    ${ }^{2}$ Think of them as new variable names, such as new letters.

