PSC 40A Theoretical Foundations of Data Science I

Announcements

- Homework 7 released today and due 12/6.
- Next Friday review session for final exam. 4-6 pm
- & Edstem
- * Please fill out SETs!



Remember, you can always ask questions at <u>q.dsc40a.com</u>!

If the direct link doesn't work, click the "Lecture Questions" link in the top right corner of <u>dsc40a.com</u>.

Agenda

- Naïve Bayes Classifier
- Text Classifier



Source: xkcd

Color	Softness	Variety	Ripeness
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe
green-black	medium	Hass	ripe
purple-black	firm	Hass	ripe
green-black	medium	Hass	unripe
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe
green-black	firm	Hass	unripe
purple-black	medium	Hass	ripe

You have a firm green-black Zutano avocado. Based on this data, would you predict that your avocado is ripe or unripe?

Problem: We have not seen an avocado with all these features. Both probabilities will be undefined.

P(ripe | firm, green-black, Zutano)

P(unripe | firm, green-black, Zutano)

Color	Softness	Variety	Ripeness	You have a firm green-black Zutano
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe	avocado. Based on this data, would you
green-black	medium	Hass	ripe	predict that your avocado is ripe or
purple-black	firm	Hass	ripe	unripe?
green-black	medium	Hass	unripe	$P(\text{class} \text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{features} \text{class}) * P(\text{class})}{P(\text{class})}$
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe	P(features)
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe	
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe	Solution: Use Bayes' Theorem, plus a
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe	simplifying assumption, to calculate the
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe	two numerators.
green-black	firm	Hass	unripe	
purple-black	medium	Hass	ripe	

Color	Softness	Variety	Ripeness	You have a firm green-black Zutano
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe	avocado. Based on this data, would you
green-black	medium	Hass	ripe	predict that your avocado is ripe or
purple-black	firm	Hass	ripe	unripe?
green-black	medium	Hass	unripe	$P(\text{class} \text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{features} \text{class}) * P(\text{class})}{P(\text{class})}$
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe	P(features)
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe	
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe	Simplifying assumption: Within a given
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe	class, the features are independent.
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe	P(firm, green-black, Zutano ripe) =
green-black	firm	Hass	unripe	P(firm ripe)*P(green-black ripe)*P(Zutano ripe
purple-black	medium	Hass	ripe	

Conditional Independence

• Recall that A and B are independent if

$$P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A) * P(B)$$

• A and B are conditionally independent given C if

$$P((A \text{ and } B)|C) = P(A|C) * P(B|C)$$

• Given that C occurs, this says that A and B are independent of one another.

Avocado Ripeness 11 7 6 6 P(ripe | features) ~ 7 6 - 6 P(ripe | features) ~ 7 - 6 9 (ripe | features) ~ 7 - 4971

6

Color	Softness	Variety	Ripeness	You have a firm green-black Zutano
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe	avocado. Based on this data, would you
green-black	medium	Hass	ripe	predict that your avocado is ripe or
purple-black	firm	Hass	ripe	unripe?
green-black	medium	Hass	unripe	$P(\text{class} \text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{features} \text{class}) * P(\text{class})}{P(\text{class})}$
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe	P(features)
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe	P(color, sotthess, variety riperess)=
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe	P(cobr Tripeness).P(sotness/ripeness)P(variety/ripeness)
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe	$\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$, $(1, \cdot)$, $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$, $\rho(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe	P(grenblachtripe) (tirm tipe). [Eutano/ripe)
green-black	firm	Hass	unripe	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$
purple-black	medium	Hass	ripe	7 7 7 73

Color	Softness	Variety	Ripeness	
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe	
green-black	medium	Hass	ripe	
purple-black	firm	Hass	ripe	
green-black	medium	Hass	unripe	
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe	
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe	
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe	
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe	
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe	
green-black	firm	Hass	unripe	
purple-black	medium	Hass	ripe	

You have a firm green-black Zutano avocado. Based on this data, would you predict that your avocado is ripe or unripe?

Assuming conditional independence of features given the class, calculate P(firm, green-black, Zutano | unripe). (A. 0 B. 1/4 (B) $\neq 1 - P(A|B) \times P(A|B) \times P(A|B) = 1 - P(A|B) \times 1 - (1/7*3/7*2/7)$ (C. 3/16 D. 1 - (1/7*3/7*2/7)

Color	Softness	Variety	Ripeness	You have a firm green-black Zutano
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe	avocado. Based on this data, would you
green-black	medium	Hass	ripe	predict that your avocado is ripe or
purple-black	firm	Hass	ripe	Unripe? $P(uvripe feats) \propto \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{49} = \frac{1}{89} > \frac{1}{539}$
green-black	medium	Hass	unripe	P(class features) = P(features class) * P(class)
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe	P(features)
bright green	firm	Zutano	unripe	Good ind. assump.
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe	P(tion lun rice) P Greenblach un rice) P(zutan lun rice)
purple-black	soft	Hass	ripe	(And the second s
green-black	soft	Zutano	ripe	2 2 2 3
green-black	firm	Hass	unripe	4 4 4 16
purple-black	medium	Hass	ripe	P(unripe) = Th

Naïve Bayes Algorithm

- Bayes' Theorem shows how to calculate P(class | features). $P(\text{class}|\text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{features}|\text{class}) * P(\text{class})}{P(\text{features})} \quad \text{don 4 need}$ to calculate
- Rewrite the numerator, using the naïve assumption of conditional independence of features given the class.
- Estimate each term in the numerator based on the training data.
- Select class based on whichever has the larger numerator.

Naïve Bayes Classifier for Text Classification

Bayes' Theorem for Text Classification

Text classification problems include:

- sentiment analysis
 - positive and negative customer reviews
- determining genre
 - news articles, blog posts, etc.
- email foldering
 - promotions tab in Gmail
- spam filtering
 - separating spam from ham (good, non-spam email)



Features

Represent an email as a vector or array of features

$$(X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n)$$

where *i* is an index into a dictionary of *n* possible words, and

 $x_i = 1$ if word *i* is present in the email $\int_{in}^{in} Licator variable x_i = 0$ otherwise



Called the "bag of words" model: Ignores location of words within the email, and the frequency of words "I do understand if something **Example:** comes up and you cannot do dictionary this today" Can do 2 10110 3 each something understand Ч appeared ן ח0*"ב*מח" S usually n = 10,000 to 50,000 words in practice When twice

Naïve Bayes Spam Classifier

$$\frac{P(\text{class}|\text{features})}{P(\text{features}|\text{class}) * P(\text{class})} = \frac{P(\text{features}|\text{class}) * P(\text{class})}{P(\text{features})}$$

To classify an email, we will use Bayes' Theorem to calculate the probability of it belonging to each class:

P(spam | features) and P(ham | features)

Then choose the class according to the larger of these two probabilities.

Naïve Bayes Spam Classifier

$$P(\text{class}|\text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{features}|\text{class}) * P(\text{class})}{P(\text{features}) \leftarrow \text{don't}}$$

Observe: the formulas for P(spam | features) and P(ham | features) have the same denominator, P(features).

We can find the larger of the two probabilities by just comparing numerators.

P(features | spam)*P(spam) vs. P(features | ham)*P(ham)

Naive Bayes Spam Classifier

$$P(\text{class}|\text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{features}|\text{class}) * P(\text{class})}{P(\text{features})}$$

$$P(\text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{features}|\text{class}) * P(\text{class})}{P(\text{features})}$$

$$P(\text{features}) = \frac{P(A|B) + P(A|B)}{P(B)} + \frac{P(A|B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(B)}{P(B)} = 1$$
To use Bayes' Theorem, need to determine four quantities:

$$A|B) + P(A|B) \neq 1$$
i. P(features | spam)
ii. P(features | spam)
ii. P(features | ham)
iii. P(spam)
iv. P(ham)

$$P(B) + P(B) = 1$$
Which of these probabilities should add to 1?
A. i, ii
B. iii, iv
C. both A and B
D. neither A nor B



 P(features | spam)
 harder to estimate

 P(features | ham)
 harder to estimate

Assumption of Conditional Independence

To estimate P(features | spam) and P(features | ham), we assume that the probability of a word appearing in an email of a given class is not affected by other words in the email.

$$P(x_{1}=0 \text{ and } x_{2}=1 \text{ and } x_{3}=1 \text{ and } \dots | \text{ spam}) = - \text{assumed equal}$$

$$P(x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2} | c|_{ass}) = P(x_{1} | c|_{ass}) \cdot P(x_{2} | c|_{ass}) \cdot P(x_{3} | c|_{ass})$$

$$P(x_{1}=0 | \text{ spam}) * P(x_{2}=1 | \text{ spam}) * P(x_{3}=1 | \text{ spam}) * \dots$$

Is this a reasonable assumption? NO! words appear together based on the meaning of sentence => words are conrelated

$$P(x_{1}=0 \text{ and } x_{2}=1 \text{ and } x_{3}=1 \text{ and } \dots | \text{ spam}) = 4 \text{ assumed equal}$$

$$P(x_{1}=0 | \text{ spam})^{*}P(x_{2}=1 | \text{ spam})^{*}P(x_{3}=1 | \text{ spam})^{*}\dots$$

$$P(x_{1}=0 | \text{ spam}) = 4 \text{ first word, warn't in the exactly}$$

$$P(x_{1}=0 | \text{ spam}) = 4 \text{ first word, warn't in the exactly}$$

estimate:

spam emails in training set not containing the first word in the dictionary # spam emails in training set

$$P(x_{1}=0 \text{ and } x_{2}=1 \text{ and } x_{3}=1 \text{ and } \dots | \text{ spam}) = \longleftarrow \text{ assumed equal}$$

$$P(x_{1}=0 | \text{ spam})^{*} P(x_{2}=1 | \text{ spam})^{*} P(x_{3}=1 | \text{ spam})^{*} \dots$$

$$\bigcup \text{ word } 2 \text{ in } \text{ did } \text{ open}$$

$$P(x_{2}=1 | \text{ spam}) \quad \text{in } \text{ email}$$

estimate:

spam emails in training set _____ containing the second word in the dictionary # spam emails in training set

$$P(x_1=0 \text{ and } x_2=1 \text{ and } x_3=1 \text{ and } \dots | \text{ spam}) = ----- \text{ assumed equal}$$

parameter: $P(x_3 = 1 | \text{spam})$

estimate:

spam emails in training set containing the third word in the dictionary # spam emails in training set

Can term-by-term estimate P(features|class)

Naïve Bayes Spam Classifier: Recap

Bayes' Theorem shows how to calculate P(spam | features) and P(ham | features).

$$P(\text{class}|\text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{features}|\text{class}) * P(\text{class})}{P(\text{features})}$$

Rewrite the numerator, using the naive assumption of conditional independence of words given the class.

Estimate each term in the numerator based on the training data. By counting for feat Select class based on whichever has the larger numerator. Conditioned on class

Modifications and Extensions

- features are pairs (or longer sequences) of words rather than individual words
 - better captures dependencies between words
 - less naïve
 - much bigger feature space
 - n words \rightarrow n² pairs of words

 $\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{j=1} \right)$

relative importance

- features are the number of occurrences of each word
 - captures low-frequency vs. high-frequency words
- smoothing
 - better handling of previously unseen words

$$P(x_1=0 \text{ and } x_2=1 \text{ and } x_3=1 \text{ and } \dots | \text{ spam}) = P(x_1=0 | \text{ spam})^* P(x_2=1 | \text{ spam})^* P(x_3=1 | \text{ spam})^* \dots$$

<u>Dictionary</u>
1. a
2. aardvark
3. abacus
4. abandon
5. abate

Suppose you are classifying an email containing the word "abacus," which does not appear in any emails in your training data. For this new email's features, what is *P*(features | spam) according to a naive Bayes classifier?

- A. P(features | spam) = undefinedB. P(features | spam) = 0
- C. P(features | spam) = 1/n
- D. P(features | spam) = 1



$P(x_1=0 \text{ and } x_2=1 \text{ and } x_3=1 \text{ and } \dots | \text{ ham}) = P(x_1=0 | \text{ ham})^* P(x_2=1 | \text{ ham})^* P(x_3=1 | \text{ ham})^* \dots$

Dictionary

1. a

- 2. aardvark
- 3. abacus
- 4. abandon
- 5. abate

Suppose you are classifying an email containing the word "abacus," which does not appear in any emails in your training data. For this new email's features, what is *P*(features | ham) according to a naïve Bayes classifier?

ham

- A. P(features | ham) = undefined
- B. P(features | ham) = 0
- C. P(features | ham) = 1/n
- D. P(features | ham) = 1

P(features | spam) = 0 and *P*(features | ham) = 0

Tiebreaker: randomly select one of the classes?

```
P(features | spam) = 0 and P(features | ham) = 0
```

Tiebreaker: randomly select one of the classes?

Better solution: make sure probabilities can't be zero

Key idea: just because you've never seen something happen doesn't mean it's impossible



parameter:

It spam containing word
$$i \rightarrow \#$$
 spann containing word $i \neq 1$
 $P(x_i=1 \mid \text{spam})$



$$P(x_1=0 \text{ and } x_2=1 \text{ and } x_3=1 \text{ and } \dots | \text{ ham}) =$$

 $P(x_1=0 | \text{ ham})^* P(x_2=1 | \text{ ham})^* P(x_3=1 | \text{ ham})^* \dots$

Dictionary

1. a

- 2. aardvark
- 3. abacus
- 4. abandon
- 5. abate

Suppose you are classifying an email containing the word "abacus," which does not appear in any emails in your training data. What is $P(x_3 = 1 | ham)$ according to a naïve Bayes classifier *with smoothing*?

- A. $P(x_3 = 1 | ham) = 0$
- B. $P(x_3 = 1 | ham) = 1/2$
- C. $P(x_3 = 1 | ham) = 1/(total #ham +1)$
- \widehat{D} $P(x_3 = 1 | ham) = 1/(total #ham + 2)$
- E. $P(x_3 = 1 | ham) = 1/(total #ham + total #spam + 2)$







- The Naive Bayes algorithm is useful for text classification.
- The bag of words model treats each word in a large dictionary as a feature.
- Smoothing is one modification that allows for better predictions when there are words that have never been seen before.