
Mock Exam - Midterm 1

1. (6 points) Define the extreme mean (EM) of a dataset to be the average of its largest and smallest values. Let

$$f(x) = -3x + 4.$$

Show that for any dataset $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$,

$$EM(f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n)) = f(EM(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)).$$

Solution: This linear transformation reverses the order of the data because if $a < b$, then $-3a > -3b$ and so adding four to both sides gives $f(a) > f(b)$. Since $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$, this means that the smallest of $f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n)$ is $f(x_n)$ and the largest is $f(x_1)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} EM(f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n)) &= \frac{f(x_n) + f(x_1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{-3x_n + 4 - 3x_1 + 4}{2} \\ &= \frac{-3x_n - 3x_1}{2} + 4 \\ &= -3 \left(\frac{x_1 + x_n}{2} \right) + 4 \\ &= -3EM(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + 4 \\ &= f(EM(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

2. (10 points) Consider a new loss function,

$$L(h, y) = e^{(h-y)^2}.$$

Given a dataset y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n , let $R(h)$ represent the empirical risk for the dataset using this loss function.

- a) (4 points) For the dataset $\{1, 3, 4\}$, calculate $R(2)$. Simplify your answer as much as possible without a calculator.

Solution: We need to calculate the loss for each data point then average the losses. That is, we need to calculate

$$R(2) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 e^{(2-y_i)^2}.$$

The table below records the necessary information:

y_i	1	3	4
$2 - y_i$	1	-1	-2
$(2 - y_i)^2$	1	1	4
$e^{(2-y_i)^2}$	e	e	e^4

This means

$$\begin{aligned} R(2) &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 e^{(2-y_i)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}(e + e + e^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{3}(2e + e^4) \end{aligned}$$

- b) (6 points) For the same dataset $\{1, 3, 4\}$, perform one iteration of gradient descent on $R(h)$, starting at an initial prediction of $h_0 = 2$ with a step size of $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. Show your work and simplify your answer.

Solution: First, we calculate the derivative of $R(h)$. Using the chain rule, we have

$$R(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{(h-y_i)^2}$$

$$R'(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{(h-y_i)^2} * 2(h - y_i)$$

To apply the gradient descent update rule, we next have to calculate $R'(h_0)$ or $R'(2)$. Plugging in $h = 2$ to the derivative we calculated above gives

$$R'(2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{(2-y_i)^2} * 2(2 - y_i)$$

The table below records the necessary information (note that we've done most of the work already).

y_i	1	3	4
$2 - y_i$	1	-1	-2
$(2 - y_i)^2$	1	1	4
$e^{(2-y_i)^2}$	e	e	e^4
$e^{(2-y_i)^2} * 2(2 - y_i)$	$2e$	$-2e$	$-4e^4$

Therefore

$$R'(2) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 e^{(2-y_i)^2} * 2(2-y_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}(2e - 2e - 4e^4)$$

$$= \frac{-4e^4}{3}$$

Applying the gradient descent update rule gives

$$h_1 = h_0 - \alpha * R'(h_0)$$

$$= 2 - \frac{1}{2} * \frac{-4e^4}{3}$$

$$= 2 + \frac{2e^4}{3}$$

3. (8 points) Suppose you have a dataset

$$\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_8, y_8)\}$$

with $n = 8$ ordered pairs such that the variance of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8\}$ is 50. Let m be the slope of the regression line fit to this data.

Suppose now we fit a regression line to the dataset

$$\{(x_1, y_2), (x_2, y_1), \dots, (x_8, y_8)\}$$

where the first two y -values have been swapped. Let m' be the slope of this new regression line.

If $x_1 = 3$, $y_1 = 7$, $x_2 = 8$, and $y_2 = 2$, what is the difference between the new slope and the old slope? That is, what is $m' - m$? The answer you get should be a number with no variables.

Hint: There are many equivalent formulas for the slope of the regression line. We recommend using the version of the formula without \bar{y} .

Solution: Using the formula for the slope of the regression line, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 m &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{n * \text{Var}(x)} \\
 &= \frac{(3 - \bar{x}) * 7 + (8 - \bar{x}) * 2 + \sum_{i=3}^n (x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{8 * 50}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that by interchanging the first two y -values, the terms in the sum from $i = 3$ to n , the number of data points n , and the variance of the x -values are all unchanged. So the slope becomes

$$m' = \frac{(3 - \bar{x}) * 2 + (8 - \bar{x}) * 7 + \sum_{i=3}^n (x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{8 * 50}$$

and the difference between these slopes is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 m' - m &= \frac{(3 - \bar{x}) * 2 + (8 - \bar{x}) * 7 - ((3 - \bar{x}) * 7 + (8 - \bar{x}) * 2)}{8 * 50} \\
 &= \frac{(3 - \bar{x}) * 2 + (8 - \bar{x}) * 7 - (3 - \bar{x}) * 7 - (8 - \bar{x}) * 2}{8 * 50} \\
 &= \frac{(3 - \bar{x}) * (-5) + (8 - \bar{x}) * 5}{8 * 50} \\
 &= \frac{-15 + 5\bar{x} + 40 - 5\bar{x}}{8 * 50} \\
 &= \frac{25}{8 * 50} \\
 &= \frac{1}{16}.
 \end{aligned}$$

4. (9 points) Consider the dataset shown below.

$x^{(1)}$	$x^{(2)}$	$x^{(3)}$	y
0	6	8	-5
3	4	5	7
5	-1	-3	4
0	2	1	2

a) (5 points) We want to use multiple regression to fit a prediction rule of the form

$$H(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}) = w_0 + w_1 x^{(1)} x^{(3)} + w_2 (x^{(2)} - x^{(3)})^2.$$

Write down the design matrix X and observation vector \vec{y} for this scenario. No justification needed.

Solution: The design matrix X and observation vector \vec{y} are given by

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 15 & 1 \\ 1 & -15 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- b) (4 points) For the X and \vec{y} that you have written down, let \vec{w} be the optimal parameter vector, which comes from solving the normal equations $X^T X \vec{w} = X^T \vec{y}$. Let $\vec{e} = \vec{y} - X \vec{w}$ be the error vector, and let e_i be the i th component of this error vector. Show that

$$4e_1 + e_2 + 4e_3 + e_4 = 0.$$

Solution: We can rewrite the normal equations in terms of the error vector to get

$$\begin{aligned} X^T X \vec{w} &= X^T \vec{y} \\ \vec{0} &= X^T \vec{y} - X^T X \vec{w} \\ \vec{0} &= X^T (\vec{y} - X \vec{w}) \\ \vec{0} &= X^T \vec{e}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since one row of X^T is $[4 \ 1 \ 4 \ 1]$, when we multiply \vec{e} by this row, the result is zero. This says that $4e_1 + e_2 + 4e_3 + e_4 = 0$, as desired.